

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-3, 6-46 and 49-50 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 4-45, 49 and 50 are withdrawn; claims 4, 5, 47 and 48 are canceled, and claims 1, 2 and 7-14 are amended. Claim 1 is amended to include the subject matter of claims 4 and 5; and claims 7-14 are amended to further clarify the features therein. Claim 46 is amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 48 (as well as the subject matter of intervening claim 47). Thus, no new matter is added by this Amendment.

Applicant appreciates the Office Action's indication that claim 48 contains allowable subject matter.

Reconsideration based on the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

**I. Claims 6-14 Should be Rejoined and Considered**

Claim 1 is amended to include the subject matter of claims 4 and 5. Claims 6-14 are amended to depend from claim 1. Thus, claims 6-14 which depend from claim 1, should be rejoined and considered.

**II. The Claims Define Patentable Subject Matter**

The Office Action rejects claims 1-3, 46 and 47 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,869,828 to Braginsky. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 46 is amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 48 (as well as intervening claim 47) and thus claim 46 is placed in condition for allowance. Furthermore, claim 1 and the claims dependent therefrom, are also in condition for allowance, because Braginsky fails to anticipate the claimed method of expression recited in independent claim 1.

Specifically, Braginsky does not disclose a method of expression of a computer object, that includes "linking a plurality of different color numerical values with a plurality of

computer objects . . . by a first corresponding table; and linking a plurality of colors provided in advance with the plurality of different color numerical values respectively by a second corresponding table, wherein each of the plurality of computer objects is expressed by the different color numerical values or the colors," as recited in amended claim 1. Nowhere does Braginsky discuss these steps of expressing a computer object.

Braginsky, instead, merely discloses a color system for encoding information that uses a color code that is printed by a color printer using single intensity colors in specific shapes aligned side by side. See, e.g., Braginsky. Abstract. Thus, the claimed method is different from Braginsky.

Thus, claim 1 and claims 2, 3 and 6-14 dependent therefrom, are patentable over Braginsky. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

### **III. Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Randi B. Isaacs  
Registration No. 56,046

JAO:RBI/cfr

ATTACHMENT:  
Petition for Extension of Time

Date: March 8, 2005

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

|  |
|--|
| DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE<br>AUTHORIZATION<br>Please grant any extension<br>necessary for entry;<br>Charge any fee due to our<br>Deposit Account No. 15-0461 |
|--|